

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,604	01/27/2004	Karla E. Williams	460.1844USV1	3398	
CHARLES N.	7590 10/20/201 J. RUGGIERO, ESQ.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L		
	IARK SQUARE, 10th F CT 06901-2682	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		3764			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/766,604	WILLIAMS, KARLA	E.
Examiner	Art Unit	
LYNNE ANDERSON	3764	

	LYNNE ANDERSON	3/64	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire tal Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.			•
non-allowable claim(s).  To pruproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) fow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/LoAn H. Thanh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3764	/Lynne Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3764		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's argument that removing the buffer disclosed by Guarracino and providing only zeolite would completely destroy the odor-absorbing capabilities of Guarracino, at is noted that since zeolites on their own are known in the art as suitable odor-absorbents for use in absorbent article, removal of the buffer would not destroy the odor-absorbing capabilities of Guarracino, since zeolite on its own also has an odor-absorbing capability. The '052, '272, and '833 patents cited in the final Office Action dated 771/10 are provided as evidence of use of zeolite alone for odor-absorption in absorbent article, and are not relied upon in the rejection under 55 U.S.C. 103(a). Therefore, since zeolite alone has been shown to provide sufficient odor absorption in absorbent articles, providing the article of Guarracino with only zeolite would have been an obvious modification.